UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/774,560 | 02/09/2004 | Young-Hyun Kim | 678-1163 | 1111 |
| | 7590 06/16/200 L LAW FIRM, P.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 333 EARLE OV | VINGTON BOULEVA | PEARSON, DAVID J | | |
| SUITE 701 UNIONDALE, NY 11553 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2137 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 06/16/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------|-----------------|--|
| | 10/774,560 | KIM, YOUNG-HYUN | |
| | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | DAVID J. PEARSON | 2137 | |
|--|--|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>02 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance v | i, or other evidence, wwith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interthan SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount c nortened statutory period for reply origit | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wind AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the proposed in the present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed in the present additional claims. | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec | E below); lucing or simplifying tl | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an end-allowable claim(s). | See attached Notice of Non-Cor | mpliant Amendment (l | · |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant aruges Horiuchi fails to teach the newly added claim limitation "thereby restricting use of the content to the mobile communication terminal" (note Remarks, page 7).

Examiner disagrees. The newly added limitation is part of the claimed "encryption unit." Harada was relied upon to teach "an encryption unit for encrypting the serial number and the content with the encryption key..." Harada also teaches "thereby restrictring use of the content of to the mobile communication terminal" (note paragraph [0007]). Harada, much like the claimed application, teaches encryption with device specific information in order to prevent other devices from decrypting and playing the stored content (note paragraph [0009]). Horiuchi was merely relied upon for the act of storing the encryption key.